

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-6996

COPY MAILED NOV **0 7** 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No.: 6,995,136 Issue Date: February 7, 2006 Application No. 09/673,785

Filed: January 17, 1997

For: PEPTIDE FRAGMENTS OF MURINE

EPIDERMAL GROWTH FACTOR AS LAMININ

RECEPTOR TARGETS

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the communication filed October 10, 2005, styled as a petition for under 37 CFR 1.59(b) to expunge an assignment record recorded against the above-captioned patent.

The petition considered under 37 CFR 1.59 is dismissed.

Petitioner contends that the assignment recorded against this application at reel 13202 frame 635is a nullity as the assignment recorded at reel 11438 frame 741 had already conveyed title to the same assignee.

The USPTO simply acts in a ministerial capacity in recording documents that have been submitted for recordation against an application or patent. See 35 U.S.C. § 261 and 37 CFR 3.11 However, the recording of a document pursuant to 37 CFR 3.11 is not a determination by the USPTO of the validity of the document per se or the effect that document has on the title to a patent or application. See 37 CFR 3.54. Furthermore, the USPTO will only determine the effect a recorded document has with respect to a given patent, per 37 CFR 3.54, "when necessary," and petitioner has failed to demonstrate it is now "necessary" for the USPTO to make that determination.

Likewise, the USPTO does not void or cancel recorded assignments. Office policy regarding recordation of assignment documents is directed toward maintaining a complete history of claimed interests in property and, therefore, recorded assignment documents will not be expunged even if subsequently found to be invalid. See In re Ratny, 24 USPQ2d 1713 (Comm'r Pat. 1992). Once a document is recorded with the Assignment Services Division, the Assignment Services Division will not remove the papers from the records relating to that application or patent. See MPEP § 323.01(d). Patent No. 6,995,136

Page 2

Lastly as USPTO policy is that assignments and other recorded documents are not for retention in any application file, see MPEP 318, it follows that the petition presented under 37 CFR 1.59 was inapposite as such pertains to expungement of information form an application, not the assignment records of the USPTO which are not housed in any given application.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be addressed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3218.

Brian Hearn

Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions